UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,612	07/11/2006	Seiichiro Miyahara	DK-US065157 7976	
	7590 05/13/201 OUNSELORS, LLP	EXAMINER		
1233 20TH STI	REET, NW, SUITE 70	HENKEL, DANIELLE B		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/585,612	MIYAHARA, SEIICHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIELLE HENKEL	1797		

	DANIELLE HENKEL	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FIIN	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the contraction of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the contraction of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the contraction of Appeal has been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-14.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2/22/10</u>						
/DANIELLE HENKEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797	/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1797					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to claims 5 and 9 do not put the application in better form or render it allowable. The amendments to claims 1 and 9 consist of adding the language of previous claim 1 to each claim. The previous rejection of claims 5 and 9 would be modified to include the previous rejection of claim 1 to address the added features of the independent. Specifically, the Examiner maintains that ATWOOD discloses the plurality of tubes (compartments) (0095, 0098), heater and cooling unit (0099-100, 0107-8), thermometer (0110), storage unit (0096), and control and calculation units (0110, 0202) as addressed in the Final Office Action rejections of claims 1 and 5. In response to Applicant's argument, page 10-11, that ATWOOD does not disclose an ambient temperature of an environment in which the device is installed has been considered, but is not persuasive. ATWOOD discloses sensing the ambient temperature within a housing around the system (0110), in which the housing is the environment where the above mentioned temperature control device is installed. In response to Applicant's argument page 11, that ATWOOD does not disclose obtaining or calculating a second target value based on the target value and calibration data with the ambient temperature has been considered, but is not persuasive. The examiner maintains ATWOOD discloses programming (setting) a target temperature (value) for the samples and managing (controlling) the sample block (heating and cooling units) to get the samples to the specified temperature (control of temperatures inside compartments) (0202). Additionally ATWOOD further discloses it is the sample target temperature that is user input and not the sample block temperature (0202) and that the power and temperature of the sample block (second target value) is obtained/calculated according to a control algorithm (0195) by taking into account the user-defined temperature (target temperature), temperature sensor values (0312) including the ambient temperature (0110, 0029), and calibration data (0096, 0323).